In this essay I provide epigraphic information on the correct reading order of a particular Classic Maya collective theonym. A collective theonym is a deity name that refers to or encompasses more than one god. Common examples include the Palenque Triad and the various gods identified as Chanal K’uh “Celestial God(s)” or Kabal K’uh “Terrestrial God(s).” After introducing known examples of this particular collective theonym, I will present a short text from a well-known Classic Maya vase which provides what I argue to be some definitive clues to its proper reading order. Additional epigraphic and iconographic observations are presented through the introduction of related visual narratives that provide important additional details.

The collective theonym here under discussion is known from Classic Maya hieroglyphic texts as well as the Postclassic Maya screenfold books. Here I introduce ten examples. The first and second can be found in the text on Panel 1 from Pomona (Figure 1a–b). Importantly, as will be seen, this must originally have included four individuals, each bearing the theonym (Schele and Miller 1986:Fig. III-12). The third and fourth examples come from a panel from the site of ‘Laxtunich’ (Figure 1c–d). The fifth and sixth examples are from Cancuen: one on Panel 2 (Figure 1e), the other from two shell plaques that comprised portions of a necklace belonging to the ruler K’an Maax (Figure 1f). The Codex Dresden provides at least two variants of the collective theonym. The first features the T528 TUN sign (Figure 1g), while the second features the T548 or HAB variant (Figure 1h). The Codex Paris provides the T548 HAB variant with an additional bottom element, possibly a reduced li (no inner detail) (Figure 1i). The Codex Madrid example follows the common composition with TUN (Figure 1j).

The theonym is spelled through a combination of several identifiable hieroglyphic signs. The first sign is CHAN or “4,” which appears in all known examples (Figure 1a–j). The second and third signs are T528 TUN (Figure 1c–g, j) and/or its optional phonetic complement T116 ni (Figure 1a–d, f). In those cases where only the ni element is visible, the implication is that TUN is nonetheless present, but overlaid with another sign (a portrait head in the case of Figure 1a–b). The fourth sign is a portrait head of an old man, a mam or “grandfather” (Stuart 2000; Martin 2007; Boot 2008), with a diagnostic “netted” headdress (Figure 1a–b, e). If the full portrait is not present, only the netted headdress element is included (Figure 1c–e, g–j). This portrait head of an old

---

1 Editors’ note: We are pleased to offer this posthumous paper by our dear departed friend and colleague Erik Boot, a frequent contributor to the Journal. Erik’s article had passed review, and he was able to address many of the comments and suggestions made by reviewers, but he did not have the opportunity to incorporate all of the changes he wished to make, nor to review the galleys. We debated whether or not to take it upon ourselves to address some final questions regarding fine points of epigraphic interpretation, the proper orthographic representation of Mayan logograms (e.g., TUUN versus Erik’s preferred TUN), or the absence of citations to recently published literature (especially Martin 2015). In the end, however, we decided that any such changes would not only be unfair to Erik but potentially misleading to colleagues, who might (not unreasonably) infer Erik’s acceptance of any such departures from the manuscript as he left it. As such, we offer Erik’s article in a lightly edited form which corrects typographical errors and clear inconsistencies without intruding on matters of content, and which we feel best reflects his intentions and final instructions.

---

Figure 1. Various examples of the collective theonym: (a–b) Pomona, Panel 1; (c–d) Laxtunich, Lintel 4; (e) Cancuen, Panel 2; (f) Cancuen, K’an Maax necklace; (g) Codex Dresden 24; (h) Codex Dresden 47; (i) Codex Paris 03; (j) Codex Madrid 071A1 (drawings a–d and f–h by Simon Martin).
man with netted headdress and the netted headdress element alone (through overlay as well as pars pro toto abbreviation) most likely can be read as **ITZAM**, as first proposed by David Stuart (2000; see also Martin 2007; Boot 2008), a reading supported by occasional examples with preposed i- and postfixed –ma phonetic complements. Potentially, Itzam may be a contraction of a reconstructed composite noun *itz mam* (perhaps signaling *itz* “dew; enchantment,” *mam* “grandfather; ancestor”), distinguishing this particular *mam* from others, especially mortals (Boot 2008, 2009:78, n. 100). As noted, in the codices, the **TUN** sign can be replaced by T548 **HAB** (Figure 1h–i), perhaps suggesting that both T528 and T548 could be read as *tu[ u]*n, at least in the context of this collective theonym.  

In most examples the compound illustrated in Figure 1 combines all signs organized in such a way that a canonical reading order is difficult to establish. All but one of the examples open with **CHAN** “4,” the exception being the shell plaques from the burial of Cancuen king K’an Maax (Figure 1f). However, the order of that example may be incorrect if we consider that the shell plaques could well have shifted before excavation by archaeologists. Unfortunately I do not have access to the project report which may contain some indications concerning the order of the plaques as found, or at least the evidentiary basis for the published arrangement of the plaques (compare Demarest et al. 2006:833-834). 

Alternatively, the shells may have been arranged in the burial in an order contrary to the one beginning with **CHAN** as in the other examples. This naturally leaves us with a question, namely: what is the correct reading order of the theonym? If rearranged, the Cancuen shells would unequivocally establish the order as **CHAN-TUN-ni** followed by **ITZAM**, thus *chan tuun itzam* instead of *itzam chan tuun*, as one would naturally conclude given the order illustrated in Figure 1f.  

There is a previously unrecognized example of this theonym that I believe provides the key to the correct reading order, as well as portraits of this important collective of old gods, along with three of their four individual appellatives. The context is an unprovenanced vessel formerly in the Pelling/Zarnitz collection and now part of a public collection at the Ethnological Museum in Berlin (inv. nr. IV Ca 49923) (Figure 2). The vessel was first published in the catalog *The Lords of the Underworld* (Coe 1978:76-82, no. 11) and more recently in *Die Maya: Schrift und Kunst* (Grube and Gaida 2005), and can be found under the designation K530 in Justin Kerr’s online database of Maya vessels (www.mayavase.com). The vessel was broken and repaired in antiquity.

---

2 Although T548 lacks any phonetic complements in this context, note spellings such as *tu-T548-li* (Codex Madrid, 66A2) and T548-*li-tu* (e.g., Codex Madrid, 68B1). In the section 65–72 in the Madrid codex the T548 compound is once substituted by *tu-T528* or *tu-TUN* (Codex Madrid, 69B2) providing suggestive evidence that T548 can indeed be read as *tu[u]n*, depending on context, phonetic complementation, and substitution.

3 Published photographs of the shallow burial of K’an Maax show his body lying on the floor, with the collar around his neck (e.g., Moran and Koumenalis 2005). However, it is impossible to discern precisely how the individual shell plaques of the collar were arranged when they were excavated by the archaeologists. It would be interesting to know whether any excavation report reveals the order as initially encountered.

4 Because of these questions, I opted for Chan Itzam Tuun in the most recent version of my Preliminary Vocabulary (Boot 2009:47). Simon Martin (2007) proposes Itzam Chan Tuun, while nonetheless leaving the matter open for additional investigation.
It must also have been encountered in fragments by looters, for it was evidently repaired once again after entering the art market, and it has suffered some repainting. The text in question has been at least partly repainted as well, but enough of the original remains to repay cautious epigraphic study.

The double column text at issue opens at C1 with a recognizable but still-undeciphered sign group (Figure 3). This sign group occurs in short texts on several other vessels as well, in the context of old gods, women, and deer (e.g., K1339, De Young Museum L.10.4.4), and there are some indications that the collocation might be verbal. The lower element is likely to provide syllable bi, and may indicate a verb terminating in ...b-i. If so, then a root intransitive along the lines of u-ti, u[h]l-i, “it happened, it occurred” is likely, and the following blocks would represent the verb’s subject(s). At D1, we find the common Classic Maya compound for katun, a twenty-year period known in Classic Mayan as WINAK-HAB for wina[a]k ha[a]b “twenty year(s).” At C2 follows the collocation ch’-a-ho-ma for ch’ahol’jm. Combined, blocks D1–C2 provide the common title Winaak Haab Ch’ahom, to which I will return below.

The glyph blocks at D2–D3 provide the actual nominal phrase. At D2 we can identify the compound CHAN-na for chan. Here chan “sky” is employed, targeting chan “four” through homophonic play (Houston 1984; Boot 2010a). At C3, despite some infelicitous repainting, we can identify the cephalomorphic variant for TUN, complete with its usual phonetic complement ni, providing tu[n]. And finally, at D3, we can recognize the ITZAM portrait head for itzam. Thus D2–D3 likely provides CHAN-na TUN-ni ITZAM for Chan Tuun Itzam. This text, in my opinion, provides the correct reading order for the collective theonym, and all other examples as illustrated in Figure 1 can now also be read as Chan Tuun Itzam.

With the reading order established, we continue with the text in order to glean additional insights. The text ends with two collocations, at C4–D4. Here I identify particularly heavy repainting, most probably executed at some time during its sojourn on the art market. While only conjecture at present, based on the outlines I suggest these glyphs were once intended to read ba[a]h (C4) tu[u]n (D4). If anything, what remains at D4 looks rather like a TUN-ni collocation. The BAH identification I base only on what remains of the outline of the original sign, and on the fact that BAH-TUN-ni for ba[a]h tu[u]n is a common title for high-ranking individuals. Semantically, it may make sense as well, as the Chan Tuun Itzam (collectively) would here be identified as Baah Tuun. Another ba[a]h title is associated with an itzam old god. The god known as Itzam K’an A[h]k “Itzam Precious Turtle” elsewhere carries the title Baah Kab (Machuquila, Plaza E, Structure 4, Hieroglyphic Bench: pLb-pM; Graham 1967:Figs. 38, 39A). Baah Kab is also taken as a title by high-ranking individuals. Most importantly, on a finely incised Late Classic vessel that takes its name from its find spot in the Mundo Perdido, Tikal (Laporte and Fialko 1995:Fig. 68), Itzam K’an Ahk is portrayed first in a line of four old gods. These four “old gods,” fronted by Itzam K’an Ahk, may well constitute a variation of the Chan

Figure 3. Glyphs A1–B4 and C1–D4 of K530.
The scene on K530 shows a manifestation of Chahk seated cross-legged on an elevation within a cave, identified as the open mouth of an animated witz or mountain (e.g., Boot 2003) (Figure 4). Note the owl-like bird in the upper left corner. This is the o’ “bird of omen” to which reference is made in the theonym O’ Chahk. O’ Chahk is a specific manifestation of Chahk known from four texts at Yaxchilan, where Lintel 35 shows a small O’ feather on the tip of Chahk’s nose (Figure 5a, left glyph). The O’ Chahk name on Lintel 35 is followed by another theonym defined by a god head with Roman nose and cruller around the large spiral eye. This is the jaguar god of the number seven and the head variant for the day Kib, as on Yaxchilan Lintel 48 (Figure 5b). Note the small smoke scroll attached to the ear, indicating that this deity is associated with fire. Other examples of the O’ Chahk theonym at Yaxchilan include the prefix Aj K’ahk’, “He of Fire,” an epithet modifying the O’ Chahk theonym in the Late Classic (Figure 5c). I suggest that this Aj K’ahk’ prefix evolved out of the second, fire-associated theonym on Lintel 35. Now note the small deity head with two pendant celest that is attached to the upper back wall of Chahk’s cave on K530. This may be the same deity head as on Yaxchilan Lintel 35, here referring to the fire aspect (i.e., Aj K’ahk’) of O’ Chahk.

Also note the o’ feather on the upper right of the cave entrance and the large eye, front of head, nasal area, upper jaw, and extended row of teeth. This is not a simple animated witz or mountain (to which the integrated tuun signs and curled split on top direct). Rather, this is the head and mouth of O’ Chahk himself serving as the cave and its entrance.

Let us turn now to the Chahk manifestation seated in the cave marked by O’ Chahk (Figure 4). He fully extends his left arm, the hand of which is placed in an upright position. This particular Chahk, with this hand and arm gesture, is unique in Classic Maya iconography, but in my estimation he is known from hieroglyphic renderings in several early Maya texts (Figure 6).

In front of Chahk one can identify four old gods, the Chan Tuun Itzam, sitting one in front of the other in two rows. Each row opens with a double-column hieroglyphic text that provides detail on the visual narrative. Unfortunately, text A1–B4 in front of the top row of gods has suffered from both breakage and heavy retouching (Figure 3). The opening collocation at A1 is no longer recognizable except for, perhaps, a prefixed u-.

Perhaps this once conveyed u-[BAH][hi?] for uba[a]h[?], “the image[s] of...,” a common introductory expression, but this is by no means certain. B1 is also significantly retouched; only the ch’a sign seems original, perhaps

---

4 Perhaps the Codex Dresden examples can be explained semantically as well. As noted above, the Chan Tuun Itzam are identified as Winaak Haab Ch’aho’m. While tuun and haab are phonologically completely different words, within a calendrical context they refer to the same unit, one of 360 days. The metaphorical haab “year” (as used to refer to the 360 day year in the Long Count and Distance Numbers) and the physical tuun “stone” (which was used to commemorate the haab “year,” as in the chum-tuun “sit stone” commemorative 360-day event) are thus structurally on par. The fact that the Chan Tuun Itzam are referred to as winaak haab ch’aho’m may underlie the tuun-haab substitution in these examples of the nominal phrase as well. Note also that, in several examples in the Codex Dresden, a HAB sign appears as part of the headress assemblage of these old gods.
providing the opening of another ch’ah’m title (compare C2); the –ho-ma part is repainted. There follow three nominal phrases, unfortunately all retouched to a greater or lesser degree. The first appears at A2, a Maize God portrait head IXIM (ixiim “maize grain”), still recognizable from the inverted “IL” marking on the cheek and the forehead jewel. The second appears at B2, but only the prefixed CHAK “red, great” is clearly recognizable, although also retouched, and the following jaguar-like sign is dubious. The third nominal appears at A3, opening with JUN or ju[n]n “one.” The remaining element might comprise a complex variant of ajaw, in which case Juun Ajaw might be named here.

The fact that three nominals are recorded may provide a direct connection to the visual narrative on the vessel. Behind the four old gods and the six female consorts one can find three musicians, each wearing a Chahk mask (Figure 7). Note especially their facial characteristics and shell ear ornaments, which compare favorably to the seated Chahk in the cave. Their topknots are fashioned in a similar manner as well. The Chahk musicians play shakers of some kind, a cylindrical drum, and a turtle carapace beaten with deer antler (compare Starr 1902:72, Fig. 51). The one who plays the drum has a single hieroglyphic sign associated with him, perhaps serving as a name caption. It likely does not belong to the female in front of him, as she has her own name caption consisting of two glyph blocks. Instead of a female portrait glyph, this may represent a portrait of the Maize God, similar to the one found at A2. If so, this would provide confirmation that these three musicians are indeed the three gods mentioned in the upper text and that they are Chahk impersonators.

The top row features five protagonists (Figure 8). In
front is an old god, an Itzam. The whole upper section
that includes his face and headdress is very heavily
repainted. (Note that the face is an all respects a copy of
the first Itzam in the bottom row.) There is no individual
name caption for him. If there was one originally it was
not “recreated,” as the modern painter could not have
known what to paint. A broad-rimmed vessel is posi-
tioned in front of him. Atop it is an instrument which
I identify as a shell scoop. Below his left upper arm the
hidden left hand and lower arm of a female consort
extends. She is elaborately dressed and has a short
name caption, IX [HA’]NAL for Ix Ha’ Nal “Lady Water
Place.” Behind this pair one can find a nearly naked
Itzam. His hair is short and unkempt. A broad-rimmed
vessel is also placed before him, though without the
scoop. A name caption identifies him as ITZAM K’AN-
AT for Itzam K’an At. He is seated between two female
consorts, both elaborately dressed and each holding
costume elements. On the left, the consort may hold a
fan or headdress element of some sort. Note the pat-
terning of this fan or headdress element and compare it
with the headdress pattern of the ITZAM portrait head
from Cancuen (Figure 1f). I suggest they both refer to
the same costume element.

The female consort has a short name caption that
also identifies her as Ixik Ha’ Nal. On her face she wears
a red kaban curl. The consort on the right holds an elabo-
rate headdress and seems to be named simply Ixik. She
is fully dressed, her body completely covered except
for head, hands, and feet. Also note her facial painting,
executed in red, around the eye.

The second row of protagonists begins to the right
of the text at C1–D4 analyzed above (Figure 9). In front
once again is an old god, an Itzam. Note the “netted”
headdress and name caption opening with ITZAM[AT],
with a variant of T761a AT, for Itzam At. This could be
the same Itzam At nominal by which God L is referred to
on the vessels that depict the humiliation of this god by
a rabbit (K1398) and the Maize God and his dwarf and
hunchback assistants (K1560, on exhibit at the Louvre,
Paris). God L is the deity who sits on a jaguar throne in
his cave palace and presides on 4 Ajaw 8 Kumk’u over a
court scene that features seven gods (K2796) and eleven
gods (K7750) and who is tricked at his palace by five
maize-related female consorts on 8 Kaban 5 Kej(??), an
impossible Calendar Round combination (K511, now
at the Princeton University Art Museum). The Itzam At
theonym is followed by a compound consisting of three
signs, of which mi and ta are still readily identifiable.
The third sign may be yi or li, but the remaining de-
tail is more suggestive of li. If correctly deduced, does
this collocation perhaps spell mi-ta-li for mita[l] (or
mita[l][l]) or mit[l][a][l]?? Although a bit of a stretch, this
could perhaps represent an early Maya approximation
of a foreign word (see Boot 2010b), namely the Nahuatl
term Mictlán “place of death” (Karttunen 1992:146-147;
Siméon 1992:274-275). At the time of the conquest and
up to the present day in various Mayan languages,
Mítnal (e.g., Yucatec; Barrera Vásquez et al. 1980:524, in
mítnalí “cosa infernal”), Metlán (e.g., Lacandon; Bruce
1968:30), and Metnal (e.g., Yucatec; Barrera Vásquez
et al. 1980:522) are all attested adaptations of this top-
onym referring to the “Underworld.” If my estimation
is correct that this Itzam At refers to God L, it would
associate him directly and definitively with the Maya
“underworld.” Also it would identify another Mayan
loanword from Nahuatl, sometime prior to the eighth
century AD.

Behind this Itzam, a female consort extends her
left arm below his left arm (thus mirroring the top
row arrangement). She is elaborately dressed, and her
name caption identifies her as IX [TUN]NAL for Ixik
Tun Nal “Lady Stone Place.” Perhaps Ixik Tunal was
intended. In the composite noun tun-nal the consonant
pair -n-n- would merge. Interestingly, in Yucatec Maya the title (Aj) Tunal means “hechicero (wizard)” (Barrera Vázquez et al. 1980:822). Ixik Tunal could thus represent a female counterpart or “hechicera (witch).”

Next in line are the three remaining protagonists. In the center is another Itzam, again nearly completely naked. He has a single collocation in front of his head which perhaps reads ITZAM[K’AB] for Itzam K’ab. The identification of the hand sign as K’AB finds corroboration in a short hieroglyphic phrase written on the Stucco Facade at Tonina (Figure 10), BAH/ba CH’AK-ka-ja u-K’AB 4-[FLOWER]NAL-la (note the BAH–ba acrophonic reduction of the opening bahlam “jaguar head” (Boot n.d.) for ba[al] ch’al k’ab chan (“flower”) nal “first chopped off was the hand /lower arm of Four (“Flower”) Person.” In this text at Tonina the same hand sign is employed as on K530. At Tonina the u-k’ab spelling refers to the severed lower arm and hand of the reclining figure, who is identified as Chan (“Flower”) Nal. The “flat hand” on K530 may actually refer to the hand that the Itzam protagonist raises. His right hand gesture—the palm of the hand is turned towards him, as can be seen from the position of the thumb—seems to indicate that he is engaged in applying some sticky black substance from the small container in his left hand, also explaining his blackened digits. The thick black outline of the front of his face may thus be original and intentional, and the thick black outline of the hand sign (especially in comparison to the outline of the itzam sign) may be related to this particular aspect as well. Tentatively, the name caption may thus read Itzam K’ab. Itzam K’ab, if correctly analyzed, is reminiscent of the name Itzam Na[h] K’ab Ul, given by the local Colonial inhabitants to a pyramidal structure at Izamal, Yucatan (Lizana 1995:Fol. 6v).

The Itzam observes himself in a mirror held by the female consort facing him. She is simply named by the female portrait head IX for Ixik, like the consort portrayed above in the top row. On her face she too has a red kaban curl. The second female consort, seated behind the Itzam, is elaborately dressed and has extended facial markings. She seems to be named IX [TE’?] NAL for Ixik Te’(?) Nal, “Lady Tree Place.”

I identify the visual narrative of K530 as an elaborate ritual or ceremony in which the Chan Tuun Itzam participate, all four paired with one or two female consorts or assistants. Three of the four Chan Tuun Itzam are identified by their individual names, Itzam K’an At(?), Itzam At Mit[n]a[a]l(?), and Itzam K’ab(?). Most likely, as noted, the name of the fourth was once provided but is now lost. But there is another late Classic Maya vessel that might provide a pertinent clue to the missing name of the fourth Itzam. This vessel, which has also suffered some repainting, is cataloged as K8763 (Figure 11). Here we are at the court of the same Chahk portrayed on K530. This can easily be
established by a comparison of the portrait heads of the two rain gods. Of interest here is the inclusion of a small o' feather element in his headdress assemblage, and the fact that he is seated on a personified witz bench or throne. Chahk of K8763 is thus merged with the o' bird of the K530 cave to become O' Chahk. In front of him stand two of the Chan Tuun Itzam, along with two female companions or attendants. Both of the Chan Tuun Itzam are individually named. The second one is named Itzam K'an (ITZAM[K'AN]), reminiscent of the Itzam K'an At name on K530. The first, directly in front of O' Chahk, is named Itzam “Shell.” The same nominal occurs in the Dresden Codex (41B-2), where an associated image depicts an Itzam who emerges from a shell. An “Old God” or Itzam emerging from a shell is quite a common theme in Maya visual narratives (e.g., K2787, K8798; De Young Museum 2002.84.1.123; Fundación La Ruta Maya 1.2.144.213). I propose that this may be the missing name caption from K530 identifying the fourth of the Chan Tuun Itzam.

To return to K530, the consorts are named Ixik Ha’ Nal (twice), Ixik Tun Nal, Ixik Te’(?) Nal, and simply Ixik (twice). Perhaps the occurrence of the double names indicates that only four consorts are present, rather than six. I consider this unlikely, as more than one person can have the same name or title. The possibility that Ixik Tun Nal may be the title of a religious specialist (hechicera) suggests that Ixik Te’ Nal and Ixik Ha’ Nal may be as well (although I have not as yet found confirmation for this idea in any Mayan language). Note that the pair te’ and tuun was mentioned in the opening text at A4–B4. Four of the six female consorts have important natural elements in their name, ha’ “water,” tun “stone,” and te’ “wood,” all of which end in nal. In Classic Mayan this nal can mean “person,” but it can also indicate a “profession” (Boot 2005, 2009), as well as a “place (of origin)” (Stuart and Houston 1994). At present I prefer the last option. Thus, if Ixik Tun Nal meant “She-of-the-Stone-Place,” the same may be true of the others: Ixik Ha’ Nal “She-of-the-Water-Place” and Ixik Te’(?) Nal “She-of-the-Tree/Wood-Place.” Their names hint at the presence of different locations in the Classic Maya “under/otherworld”: names associated with regions defined by stone, water, and wood, and which may identify these women as alluring female protectors of some sort (as well as consorts and/or assistants). As such, they can be compared to the women associated with the Old God and the deer (e.g., K1182, now at the MFA, Boston), possible Classic precursors to the well-known Ixtabay from present-day Yucatec Maya folk stories (e.g., Boot 1989).

Also relevant to the consorts on K530 is a vase in the collections of the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston (Figure 12). It presents a scene very similar to K530, but now it is young men who are placed between female consorts. The visual narrative features a large central vessel and various scoops or small bowls. Note that the first young man imitates the posture and gesture of Itzam K’ab(?) on the Berlin vessel. This aspect is stressed by the elongated netted headdress they both wear. Now note the well-dressed female on the right and her name caption. This caption reads IX 7-[HA’]NAL for Ixik Huk Ha’ Nal, “Lady Seven Water Place.” Huk Ha’ Nal “Seven Water Place” is an important aquatic location in Classic Maya mythological iconography referenced on ceramics and architecture. The similarity of the visual narrative on this vase and the name caption of the female consort

Figure 12. Rollout of vase at the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston (1987.719, Gift of John B. Fulling).
suggests that the nominal Ixik Ha’ Nal on K530 is likely to be an abbreviation of Ixik Huk Ha’ Nal. The Huk Ha’ Nal location is thus a watery location with which specific women are associated, entitled Ixik Huk Ha’ Nal or Ixik Ha’ Nal (the abbreviated form). The most important conclusion that I draw here is that these female consorts may not be courtesans as Houston (2014) has proposed. They seem instead to have very specific functions and are associated with important mythological locations. Their frequent appearances and wide associations actually warrant more in-depth research before any further conclusions can be drawn.

The elaborate ritual of the Chan Tuun Itzam on K530 is directed towards Chahk seated in his cave house or residence. Two of the Chan Tuun Itzam on this vessel are seated fully dressed directly in front of Chahk, while the other two are still in the process of being dressed and groomed. In front of the two dressed Chan Tuun Itzam we see broad-rimmed vessels on which a shell implement of some sort is placed, which I have tentatively identified as a scoop. Note the small bowl in the left hand of the Tuun Itzam in the upper row. This scene presents the Chan Tuun Itzam in front of a specific manifestation of Chahk, the rain god. Two of the Chan Tuun Itzam have a broad-rimmed vessel with a (putative) scoop on top. Perhaps the visual narrative on K530 sets the stage for the Chan Tuun Itzam to scoop the contents from the broad-rimmed containers and sprinkle them. This might resonate with the title Ch’ahom, mentioned in both the first text (at B1) and the second text (at C2), in the modified form of Winaak Haab Ch’ahom preceding the Chan Tuun Itzam nominal phrase. The root of the title ch’ahom is commonly taken as ch’aj- which can be analyzed as “drop (of liquid).” Final –o’m can in turn be analyzed as an agentive (compare to kayo’m “fisherman,” k’ayo’m “singer”), suggesting that Ch’ahom might signify “dropper” or “sprinkler.”

I suggest that Ch’ahom indeed means “sprinkler” and that in the visual narrative on K530 we can observe the Chan Tuun Itzam behaving as “sprinklers” of drops. They are seated in front of Chahk, the rain god; in front of them they have broad-rimmed vessels with scoops, with which they would sprinkle the liquid contained in the vessel. As “sprinklers” the Chan Tuun Itzam would thus be in service to Chahk, the rain god. In present-day Yucatan, Chahk has a number of helpers who lend him service. One particular group of helpers is collectively known as the Joya’ob (Love and Peráza 1984:270), Aj Joyaob, and Aj Joyaobilob (Redfield and Villa Rojas 1934:115, 315-316, 352), which in Yucatec Maya means “Water-sprinklers” (cf. joy “to sprinkle” and [h]a’ “water”). I propose that the Classic Maya title Ch’ahom “Sprinkler” is semantically cognate to the Yucatec Maya Joy’a’/Aj Joyaobil “Sprinkler (of water).” The fact that the Chan Tuun Itzam are collectively referred to as Winaak Haab Ch’ahom “K’atun Sprinklers” may mean that they are associated with this particular ritual on a twenty-year cycle.

The visual narrative on K530 may thus reference the court of Chahk, who is seated on an elevation inside his cave “house/structure” marked by the o’ “bird of omen” and the portrait of O’ Chahk, and is fronted by the Chan Tuun Itzam and their female assistants. Two of the Chan Tuun Itzam are in the process of being dressed and groomed and two are already fully dressed and groomed. Two of the Chan Tuun Itzam have broad-rimmed vessels with (probable) scoops associated with them. Perhaps, then, we see them being dressed and equipped for their function as “Sprinklers” in service to the rain god.

Still another Classic Maya ceramic, K8654, also relates to K530 (Figure 13). This less skillfully executed scene features five protagonists. They all look to the left and the first is a Chahk figure, perhaps even O’ Chahk. He holds a torch (stressing his fire association) and faces a two-part date that opens with 8 Ajaw inside a red cartouche. Behind him is seated one of the Chan Tuun Itzam, also holding a torch, with an abstracted shell of
some sort wrapped around his upper body. Behind him stands a second Chahk figure, with torch, and a female attendant with some kind of implement (perhaps a bowl or a scoop) in her right hand. The last protagonist is the second seated Chan Tuun Itzam, again holding a torch, and now more easily recognizable through his facial features and headdress. The second Chan Tuun Itzam also has an abstracted shell of some sort wrapped around his upper body.

Earlier in this essay I suggested that there are various local manifestations of the Chan Tuun Itzam. Just as K530 introduces such a set of this collective, so does the Mundo Perdido Vase from Tikal (Figure 14). A short discussion of the narrative on this vessel is warranted here, as it supports many of the suggestions presented above. At the far right of this scene is a bipedal deer standing in front of four seated old gods. The deer and the old gods all emit speech scrolls from their mouths which lead to short quotative texts. The deer says “Tuun Itzamat” (TUN ITZAM[AT]), which could be either question or a statement: “Tuun Itzam (tu[n itzam] you (~at) are(?/!).” If this is correct, the question or statement seems to be answered or confirmed by the old god seated closest to the deer, who identifies himself as ITZAM[K’AN] AK or Itzam K’an Ahk “Itzam Precious Turtle.” The other three old gods also speak, albeit not necessarily in response to the deer. The second states i-li ba-li ch’o-ko, of which only ch’ok can be deciphered with confidence. (The speech scroll perhaps opens with imperative il-i “see it!”) The third says GOD.HEAD ka-ba ch’a-ho-ma or (Itzam?) Kab Ch’aho’m, his name and title. The fourth and final old god, whose portrait is partially lost, seems to utter ITZAM[K’AN] AT? ITZAM?-K’AB or Itzam(?) K’an At(?) Itzam(?) K’ab. If I have identified these correctly, then we have already seen them on K530. As two nominals are preserved here, perhaps they identify both the fourth and second old gods, since the latter uttered i-li ba-li ch’o-ko, which may be a remark of some sort and apparently does not identify him by name. Usefully, the Mundo Perdido Vase also indicates that each of the four old gods has tuun markings on his body, providing further evidence that they are indeed the Chan Tuun Itzam. As such there would perhaps be different collectives of four “old gods” comprising the Chan Tuun Itzam, perhaps even regionally differentiated.

Another vessel at the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, portrays a set of the Chan Tuun Itzam associated with the celestial realm; each has tuun markings on his body, and three of them are individually named (Figure 15).

Finally, a most interesting potential collective of Chan Tuun Itzam can be identified at Chichen Itza within the western facade of the building known as the Iglesia. On the left and right of a central figure, seated cross-legged and elaborately dressed, one can find a pair of figures that face towards the central figure, who is crowned with a bifurcating headdress. Although their heads are missing (as is that of the central figure), all four must have have been “old gods.” Their portraits and particular body coverings, including various shells and a spider web, can be found all over Chichen Itza on carved panels on pillars and columns.

To conclude, the Berlin Vase (K530) provides several pivotal epigraphic clues which have helped to establish the correct reading order of the collective theonym Chan Tuun Itzam. This vessel also provides three of the four individual names of the Chan Tuun Itzam. Additional relevant information was derived from the text and image of K530 and related ceramics depicting the interactions of the Chan Tuun Itzam and the rain god Chahk, and as a result we have been able to infer a supportive rainmaking role for the Old Gods. In future work I hope to delve into the pronounced regional and chronological variations apparent in the depictions of the four old gods constituting the Chan Tuun Itzam collective theonym, as well as into the various architectural settings in which they can be identified.
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